Absolute Continuity of Vitali–Hahn–Saks Measure Convergence Theorems

Wu Junde,^{1,4} Zhou Su,² and Cho Minhyung³

Received

In this paper, we prove the following improved Vitali–Hahn–Saks measure convergence theorem: Let (L, 0, 1) be a Boolean algebra with the sequential completeness property, (G, τ) be an Abelian topological group, v be a nonnegative finitely additive measure defined on L, $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of finitely additive *s*-bounded *G*-valued measures defined on L, too. If for each $a \in L$, $\{\mu_n(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a τ -convergent sequence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, when $\{v(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to $0, \{\mu_n(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is τ -convergent, then when $\{v(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to $0, \{\mu_n(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is τ -convergent to $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

KEY WORDS: measures; Boolean algebras; Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem.

Let (L, 0, 1) be a Boolean algebra, (G, τ) be an Abelian topological group, a mapping $\mu : L \to G$ is said to be a finitely additive measure if $a, b \in L$ with $a \land b = 0$, then $\mu(a \lor b) = \mu(a) + \mu(b)$. The measure μ is said to be *s*-bounded if for each disjoint sequence $\{a_n\}$ of (L, 0, 1), $\{\mu(a_n)\}$ is τ -convergent to 0. Let $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be a sequence of finitely additive *s*-bounded measures, if for each disjoint sequence $\{a_k\}$ of (L, 0, 1), $\{\mu_n(a_k)\}$ are τ -convergent to 0 uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is said to be *uniformly s*-bounded.

Brooks and Jewett (1970) proved the following famous Vitali–Hahn–Saks measure convergence theorem:

Theorem 1'. Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra, (X, ||.||) be a Banach space, v be a nonnegative finitely additive measure defined on \mathcal{A} , { $\mu_n : n \in \mathbf{N}$ } be a sequence of finitely additive s-bounded X-valued measures defined on \mathcal{A} , too. If for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, { $\mu_n(A)$ }_{$n \in \mathbf{N}$} is a ||.||-convergent sequence, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lim_{v(A)\to 0} \mu_n(A) = 0$, then $\lim_{v(A)\to 0} \mu_n(A) = 0$ uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

¹Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

²City College, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

³ Department of Applied Mathematics, Kumoh National Institute of Technology, Kyungbuk, Korea.

⁴ To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China; e-mail: wjd@math.zju.edu.cn.

That is, if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, μ_n is absolutely continuous with respect to ν , then $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are absolutely continuous with respect to ν uniformly for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Vitali–Hahn–Saks theorem has a series of important applications in measure theory and quantum logics (De Simone, 2000).

Now, we are interested in the following problem: If for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, when $\{\nu(A_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to 0, $\{\mu_n(a_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is ||.||-convergent to e_n , then when $\{\nu(a_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to 0, $\{\mu_n(a_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ whether are τ -convergent to e_n uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbf{N}$? That is, whether we can improve the absolute continuity of Vitali–Hahn–Saks theorem?

In this paper, by considering $L \times L$ and using the proof methods of Brooks–Jewett (Brooks and Jewett, 1970), we show that the answer is true.

Our main result is

Theorem 1. Let (L, 0, 1) be a Boolean algebra with the sequential completeness property, (G, τ) be an Abelian topological group, v be a nonnegative finitely additive measure defined on L, $\{\mu_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of finitely additive s-bounded G-valued measures defined on L, too. If for each $a \in L$, $\{\mu_n(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a τ -convergent sequence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, when $\{v(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to 0, $\{\mu_n(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is τ -convergent to e_n , then when $\{v(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ convergent to 0, $\{\mu_n(a_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are τ -convergent to e_n uniformly with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: If the conclusion is not true, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{n_k\}, \{\delta_k\}, \{a_k\}$ and $\{b_k\}$, and a τ -continuous group quasi-norm P such that $P(\mu_{n_{k+1}}(a_{k+1}) - \mu_{n_{k+1}}(b_{k+1})) > \varepsilon$, $\nu(a_{k+1}) < \delta_{k+1}$, $\nu(b_{k+1}) < \delta_{k+1}$, and $\nu(a) < \delta_{k+1}$, $\nu(b) < \delta_{k+1}$ implies that $P(\mu_{n_i}(a) - \mu_{n_i}(b)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+3}}$ for $i \le k$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_i = i$. So

$$P(\mu_{k+1}(a_{k+1}) - \mu_{k+1}(b_{k+1})) > \varepsilon,$$
(1)

$$P(\mu_j(a) - \mu_j(b)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+3}}, \ j \le k, \ a \le a_{k+1}, \ b \le b_{k+1}.$$
 (2)

Consider $L \times L = \{(c, d) : c \in L, d \in L\}$. Let $c_1 = a_2, d_1 = b_2$ and $i_1 = 2$. If there exists an $i_2 > 2$ such that $P(\mu_{i_2}(c_1 \wedge a_{i_2}) - \mu_{i_2}(d_1 \wedge b_{i_2})) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, then let $(c_2, d_2) = (c_1 \wedge a'_{i_2}, d_1 \wedge b'_{i_2})$. If $(c_1, d_1), \dots, (c_k, d_k)$ and i_1, \dots, i_k have been chosen and that there exists an $i_{k+1} > i_k$ such that $P(\mu_{i_{k+1}}(c_k \wedge a_{i_{k+1}}) - \mu_{i_{k+1}}(d_k \wedge b_{i_{k+1}})) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, then let $(c_{k+1}, d_{k+1}) = (c_k \wedge a'_{i_{k+1}}, d_k \wedge b'_{i_{k+1}})$. Thus, we have

$$c_{k+1} \le c_k, \ d_{k+1} \le d_k, \ c_k \land c'_{k+1} = c_k \land a_{i_{k+1}}, \ d_k \land d'_{k+1} = d_k \land b_{i_{k+1}}.$$
(3)

It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$P(\mu_{i_{k+1}}(c_k \wedge c'_{k+1}) - \mu_{i_{k+1}}(d_k \wedge d'_{k+1})) > \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$
(4)

$$P(\mu_{i_k}(c_k \wedge c'_{k+1}) - \mu_{i_k}(d_k \wedge d'_{k+1})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+3}}.$$
(5)

Now, we show that there exists a $(c_{k_0}, d_{k_0}) \in L \times L$ and an i_{k_0} such that for all $j > i_{k_0}$, $P(\mu_j(c_{k_0} \wedge a_j) - \mu_j(d_{k_0} \wedge b_j)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

In fact, if not, we can obtain disjoint sequence $\{c_k \land c'_{k+1}\}$ and disjoint sequence $\{d_k \land d'_{k+1}\}$ in *L* which satisfy (4) and (5) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we have

$$P((\mu_{i_{k+1}} - \mu_{i_k})(c_k \wedge c'_{k+1}) - (\mu_{i_{k+1}} - \mu_{i_k})(d_k \wedge d'_{k+1})) > \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This contradicts the Theorem 1 of Junde and Zhihao (2003). Hence, there exists a $(c_{k_0}, d_{k_0}) \in L \times L$ and an i_{k_0} such that for all $j > i_{k_0}$, $P(\mu_j(c_{k_0} \wedge a_j) - \mu_j(d_{k_0} \wedge b_j)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

Let $p_1 = i_{k_0}, (h_1, g_1) = (c_{k_0}, d_{k_0}), \mu_i^{(1)} = \mu_{p_1+i}, (a_i^{(1)}, b_i^{(1)}) = (a_{p_1+i} \wedge h'_1, b_{p_1+i} \wedge g'_1)$. It follows from (1), (2), and (5) easily that

$$P(\mu_1(h_1) - \mu_1(g_1)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{1+3}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$$
$$P(\mu_2(h_1) - \mu_2(g_1)) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

So

$$\begin{split} &P((\mu_2 - \mu_1)(h_1) - (\mu_2 - \mu_1)(g_1)) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{16}, \\ &P\left(\mu_i^{(1)}(a_i^{(1)}) - \mu_i^{(1)}(b_i^{(1)})\right) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \\ &P\left(\mu_j^{(1)}(a) - \mu_j^{(1)}(b)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+3}}, a \le a_i^{(1)}, b \le b_i^{(1)}, j < i. \end{split}$$

Let $(c_1^{(1)}, d_1^{(1)}) = (a_1^{(1)}, b_1^{(1)})$. Similarly, we can obtain a $(c_{k_1}^{(1)}, d_{k_1}^{(1)})$ and an i_{k_1} such that for all $j > i_{k_1}$, $P(\mu_j^{(1)}(c_{k_1}^{(1)} \land a_j^{(1)}) - \mu_j^{(1)}(d_{k_1}^{(1)} \land b_j^{(1)})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$.

Let $p_2 = i_{k_1}, (h_2, g_2) = (c_{k_1}^{(1)}, d_{k_1}^{(1)}), \mu_i^{(2)} = \mu_{p_2+i}^{(1)}, (a_i^{(2)}, b_i^{(2)}) = (a_{p_2+i}^{(1)} \wedge h'_2, b_{p_2+i}^{(1)} \wedge g'_2)$. Then $h_1 \wedge h_2 = 0, g_1 \wedge g_2 = 0$, and $P(\mu_2(h_2) - \mu_2(g_2)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{32}, P(\mu_1^{(1)}(h_2) - \mu_1^{(1)}(g_2)) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. So,

$$\begin{split} &P\left((\mu_1^{(1)} - \mu_2)(h_2) - \left(\mu_1^{(1)} - \mu_2\right)(g_2)\right) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{8} - \frac{\varepsilon}{32} \\ &P\left(\mu_i^{(2)}(a_i^{(2)}) - \mu_i^{(2)}(b_i^{(2)})\right) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{8}, \\ &P\left(\mu_j^{(2)}(a) - \mu_j^{(2)}(b)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+4}}, \ a \le a_i^{(2)}, \ b \le b_i^{(2)}, \ j < i. \end{split}$$

Inductively, we can obtain disjoint sequence $\{h_k\}$ and disjoint sequence $\{g_k\}$ of *L*, and a sequence of $\{\mu_1^{(k)}\}$ such that $P((\mu_1^{(k+1)} - \mu_1^{(k)})(h_{k+2}) - (\mu_1^{(k+1)} - \mu_1^{(k)})(g_{k+2})) > \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{8} - \cdots - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{32} > \frac{\varepsilon}{16}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts Theorem 1 of Junde and Zhihao (2003) again, so the theorem

This contradicts Theorem 1 of Junde and Zhihao (2003) again, so the theorem is proved. \Box

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Project was supported by research fund of Kumoh National Institute of Technology.

REFERENCES

- Brooks, J. K. and Jewett, R. S. (1970). On finitely additive measures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 67, 1294–1298.
- De Simone, A. (2000). Absolute continuity of states on concrete logics. International Journal of Theoretical Physics 39, 615–620.
- Junde, Wu and Zhihao, Ma (2003). The Brooks–Jewett theorem on effect algebras with the sequential completeness property. *Czechoslovak Journal of Physics* **53**, 379–383.